

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/089,394	Applicant(s) CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Maria B. Marvich, PhD	Art Unit 1633	

All Participants:

 (1) Maria B. Marvich, PhD.

 (2) Debbie Glaister.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


Applicant was contacted regarding an Examiner's amendment to put the case in condition for allowance. However, a return call was not received prior to the due date of the application.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

DAVE TRONG NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)